Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) 三

1/46

cours ARO07-MSSD

Random Models of Dynamical Systems Introduction to SDE's

Brownian motion and continuous martingales

François Le Gland INRIA Rennes + IRMAR

people.rennes.inria.fr/Francois.Le_Gland/insa-rennes/

October 19, 2021

François Le Gland

- téléphone : 02 99 84 73 62 / 06 95 02 13 16
- e-mail : francois.le_gland@inria.fr

formation

- ingénieur Ecole Centrale Paris (1978)
- DEA de Probabilités à Paris 6 (1979)
- thèse en Mathématiques Appliquées à Paris Dauphine (1981)

carrière professionnelle : chercheur à l'INRIA (directeur de recherche depuis 1991)

- à Rocquencourt jusqu'en 1983
- à Sophia Antipolis de 1983 à 1993
- ▶ à Rennes depuis 1993

membre de l'IRMAR depuis 2012

Organisation pratique du cours

- cours magistral (5 fois 2 heures)
- TD (3 fois 2 heures)
- ▶ TP informatique, MATLAB ou R ou Python (3 fois 2 heures)
 - par binôme
 - rapport écrit + code source
 - en cas de difficulté, e-mail à francois.le_gland@inria.fr

support de cours

- planches présentées en cours magistral
- énoncés des TD ou TP

ressources : articles à télécharger, archives, etc.

people.rennes.inria.fr/Francois.Le_Gland/insa-rennes/

et le moodle !

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Introduction

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

<ロト < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > 言 の Q (~ 4/46 objective: find (and study) a continuous-time analogue to discrete-time stochastic models, such as

 $X_k = f(X_{k-1}, W_k)$

where W_k 's are independent (non necessarily Gaussian) random variables

shall we succeed? yes and no concept of a *stochastic differential equation* (SDE)

 $dX(t) = b(X(t)) dt + \sigma(X(t)) dB(t)$

interpretation as random perturbation of (ordinary) differential equation

 $\dot{X}(t) = b(X(t))$

or in integral form

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t b(X(s)) \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s)) \, dB(s)$$

where dB(t)'s are independent random variables, precisely: Brownian motion increments $B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}), \dots, B(t_1) - B(t_0)$ are independent random variables for any finite subset $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$, and for any $0 \le s \le t$ the distribution of the r.v. B(t) - B(s) depends only on (t - s)

Stochastic processes 000

loss of generality: increments should *necessarily* be Gaussian + noise–dependence is additive

yet some benefit: stochastic differential calculus, e.g. *Itô formula* (chain rule) yields SDE for $\phi(X(t))$

 $d\phi(X(t)) = L\phi(X(t)) dt + \phi'(X(t)) \sigma(X(t)) dB(t)$

this is in constrast with discrete-time counterpart: indeed, if

 $X_k = f(X_{k-1}) + W_k$

holds with additive noise, this structure is not preserved under mapping, i.e.

$$\phi(X_k) = \phi(f(X_{k-1}) + W_k)$$

does not exhibit additive noise structure

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Introduction

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

<ロト < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > 言 の Q () 6/46

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) 三

6/46

Stochastic processes

Definition a stochastic process is a collection $X = (X(t), 0 \le t \le T)$ or $X = (X(t), t \ge 0)$ of r.v.'s (measurable maps defined on a common probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and taking values in a space (E, \mathcal{E}) (typically $E = \mathbb{R}^d$ with its Borel σ -field \mathcal{E}) indexed by I = [0, T] or $I = [0, \infty)$ respectively

Definition finite-dimensional distributions of the stochastic process X are joint probability distributions of r.v.s such as $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))$ for any finite subset $t_1 < \dots < t_n$ of indices, i.e.

$$\mu_{t_1}\cdots t_n(A_1\times\cdots\times A_n)=\mathbb{P}[X(t_1)\in A_1,\cdots,X(t_n)\in A_n]$$

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Theorem 1* [Kolmogorov extension theorem] given the collection of finite-dimensional distributions defined for all possible finite subsets of *I*, there exists a unique probability distribution μ^X (called the probability distribution of the process *X*) on the set E^I (of all mappings defined on *I* and taking values in *E*), whose restriction (marginals) to any finite subset of indices coincides with the prescribed finite-dimensional distribution

in other words: the distribution of a stochastic process is completely characterized by the collection of all its finite-dimensional distributions

Definition a process X has almost surely continuous sample paths iff the set

```
\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{the mapping } t \mapsto X(t, \omega) \text{ is continuous on } I\}
```

has probability 1

in other words: a process with almost surely continuous sample paths on I = [0, T] can be seen as a r.v. on the functional space C([0, T], E) of continuous mappings

Theorem 2* [Kolmogorov continuity criterion] if there exist positive constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and C > 0 such that for any $t, s \ge 0$

 $\mathbb{E}|X(t)-X(s)|^eta \leq C \, |t-s|^{1+lpha}$

then almost surely the process X has continuous sample paths

<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト 言 の < で 8/46

Stochastic processes

 Continuous martingales

Introduction

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

<ロト < 回 > < 直 > < 直 > < 直 > 三 の Q () 9/46

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

9/46

Brownian motion

Definition a Brownian motion B is a process with

- ▶ independent and stationary increments, i.e. for any finite subset $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ of indices the r.v.'s $B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}), \cdots, B(t_1) - B(t_0)$ are independent, and for any $0 \le s \le t$ the distribution of the r.v. B(t) - B(s) depends only on (t - s)
- continuous in probability sample paths, i.e. for any $\delta > 0$

 $\mathbb{P}[|B(t+h) - B(t)| > \delta] \to 0$

as $h \downarrow 0$

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

10 / 46

Remark * necessarily, such a process is Gaussian, and for any $0 \le s \le t$ the variance of the increment B(t) - B(s) is proportional (t - s)

if X is a Gaussian r.v. with zero mean and variance σ^2 , then $\mathbb{E}|X|^4 = 3\sigma^4$, hence

$$\mathbb{E}|B(t) - B(s)|^4 = C |t - s|^2$$

and it follows from the Kolmogorov criterion that a Brownian motion has almost surely continuous sample paths

Remark necessarily, these sample paths cannot be differentiable (even in a weak sense) since

$$\mathbb{E}|\frac{B(t+h)-B(t)}{h}|^2 = C \frac{1}{h}$$

does not have a finite limit as $h \downarrow 0$

Stochastic processes

this discussion justifies the following equivalent

Definition a Brownian motion B is a process with

- ▶ independent and Gaussian increments, i.e. for any finite subset $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ of indices the r.v.'s $B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}), \cdots, B(t_1) - B(t_0)$ are independent, and for any $0 \le s \le t$ the distribution of the r.v. B(t) - B(s) is $\mathcal{N}(0, (t-s)\sigma^2)$
- almost surely continuous sample paths

without loss of generality, it is assumed that B(0) = 0, i.e. a Brownian motion starts at zero

if $\sigma^2=1$ in the definition, the Brownian motion is called a standard Brownian motion

Proposition 3 a process B is a Brownian motion iff B is a zero mean Gaussian process with correlation function

 $K(s,t) = \mathbb{E}[B(t) B(s)] = (s \wedge t) \sigma^2$

and almost surely continuous sample paths

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Proof 'only if' part: for any finite subset $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ of indices, the r.v. $(B(t_0), B(t_1), \cdots, B(t_n))$ is a linear transformation of the r.v. $(B(t_0) - B(0), B(t_1) - B(t_0), \cdots, B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}))$ (a Gaussian r.v. since its components are Gaussian independent r.v.'s) hence it is Gaussian

clearly, if $0 \le s \le t$ then

 $\mathbb{E}[B(t)] = \mathbb{E}[B(t) - B(s)] + \mathbb{E}[B(s)] = \mathbb{E}[B(s)] = \mathbb{E}[B(0)] = 0$

and

 $K(s,t) = \mathbb{E}[B(t) B(s)] = \mathbb{E}[(B(t) - B(s)) B(s)] + \mathbb{E}|B(s)|^2 = s \sigma^2$

'if' part: conversely, for any finite subset $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ of indices, the r.v. $(B(t_1) - B(t_0), \cdots, B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}))$ is a linear transformation of the Gaussian r.v. $(B(t_0), B(t_1), \cdots, B(t_n))$ hence it is Gaussian

clearly, for any $i = 1 \cdots n$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[(B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}))^2] \\ &= \mathcal{K}(t_i, t_i) - 2 \, \mathcal{K}(t_{i-1}, t_i) + \mathcal{K}(t_{i-1}, t_{i-1}) \\ &= (t_i - 2 \, t_{i-1} + t_{i-1}) \, \sigma^2 = (t_i - t_{i-1}) \, \sigma^2 \end{split}$$

and for any $i, j = 1 \cdots n$ with $i \neq j$, for instance $t_{j-1} < t_j \leq t_{i-1} < t_i$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[(B(t_j) - B(t_{j-1})) (B(t_i) - B(t_{i-1}))] \\ &= \mathcal{K}(t_j, t_i) - \mathcal{K}(t_j, t_{i-1}) - \mathcal{K}(t_{j-1}, t_i) + \mathcal{K}(t_{j-1}, t_{i-1}) \\ &= (t_j - t_j + t_{j-1} - t_{j-1}) \sigma^2 = 0 \end{split}$$

hence the Gaussian r.v.'s $B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}), \dots, B(t_1) - B(t_0)$ are independent

うくで 13/46

multi-dimensional version

Definition a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion *B* with $d \times d$ covariance matrix Σ is a process with

• independent and Gaussian increments, i.e. for any finite subset $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ of indices the r.v.'s $B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}), \cdots, B(t_1) - B(t_0)$ are independent, and for any $0 \le s \le t$ the distribution of the r.v. B(t) - B(s) is $\mathcal{N}(0, (t-s)\Sigma)$

almost surely continuous sample paths

Proposition 4 * a process *B* is a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion with $d \times d$ covariance matrix Σ iff *B* is a zero mean Gaussian process with matrix-valued correlation function

 $K(s,t) = \mathbb{E}[B(t) B^*(s)] = (s \wedge t) \Sigma$

and almost surely continuous sample paths

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Exercise if B is a standard Brownian motion, then the processes defined by: *rescaling*

$$X(t) = \lambda B(\frac{t}{\lambda^2})$$

time inversion

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} t B(\frac{1}{t}) & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

refreshing

$$X(t) = B(t+t_0) - B(t_0)$$

time reversal for $0 \le t \le T$

$$X(t) = B(T - t) - B(T)$$

are also standard Brownian motions, i.e. have the same distribution as B

Subdivisions

Definition for any $n \ge 1$, let $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_n^n = t$ be a subdivision of [0, t] with $\Delta_n = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n)$

- ▶ a *convergent* subdivision scheme is such that $\Delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \uparrow \infty$
- a *fast* subdivision scheme is any subsequence such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \infty$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{n(k)} < 0$$

Remark clearly, $\Delta_n \geq t/n$ hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n \ge t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$$

i.e. the condition does not hold without taking a subsequence

Remark the dyadic subdivision, with $n(k) = 2^k$ and $t_i^{(k)} = t i 2^{-k}$ for $i = 0 \cdots 2^k$, is a *fast* subdivision: indeed $\Delta_{n(k)} = t 2^{-k}$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{n(k)} = t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = t < \infty$$

18/46

Quadratic variation

Proposition 5 [quadratic variation] let *B* be a standard Brownian motion and let $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_n^n = t$ be a convergent subdivision of [0, t], then

$$V_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n (B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))^2 \to t$$

in \mathbb{L}^2 as $n \uparrow \infty$, and the convergence holds almost surely along a *fast* subdivision

Remark necessarily, Brownian motion sample paths cannot have finite variation since

$$V_n(t) \leq \max_{i=1\cdots n} |B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n)| \sum_{i=1}^n |B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n)|$$

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Proof interpretation as a sum of independent zero-mean r.v.'s

$$V_n(t) - t = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))^2 - (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) \right]$$

expansion

$$|V_n(t) - t|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[(B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))^2 - (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) \right]$$
$$[(B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))^2 - (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n)]$$

and expectation yield

$$\mathbb{E}|V_n(t) - t|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|(B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))^2 - (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n)|^2$$

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 の Q (や 20 / 46

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

if X is a Gaussian r.v. with zero mean and variance σ^2 , then

 $\mathbb{E}|X^2 - \sigma^2|^2 = \mathbb{E}|X|^4 - \sigma^4 = 2\sigma^4$

in particular for $X = B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n)$, a Gaussian r.v. with zero mean and variance $\sigma^2 = t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}|(B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))^2 - (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n)|^2 = 2(t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n)^2$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}|V_n(t) - t|^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n)^2$$

$$\leq 2 \sup_{i=1\cdots n} (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n) \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i^n - t_{i-1}^n)$$

$$= 2 t \Delta_n \to 0$$

as $n \uparrow \infty$, which shows the first part

Stochastic processes 000 Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

it follows from the Markov inequality that for any $\delta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[|V_{n(k)}(t)-t|>\delta]\leq rac{1}{\delta^2}~\mathbb{E}|V_{n(k)}(t)-t|^2\leq rac{2~t}{\delta^2}\Delta_{n(k)}$$

just as in the Borel-Cantelli lemma, notice that the events

$$A_p = \bigcup_{k \ge p} \{|V_{n(k)}(t) - t| > \delta\}$$

form a non-increasing sequence, i.e. $A_p \subseteq A_{p-1}$, hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{p\geq 1}\bigcup_{k\geq p}\{|V_{n(k)}(t)-t|>\delta\}\right] = \lim_{p\uparrow\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{k\geq p}\{|V_{n(k)}(t)-t|>\delta\}\right]$$

$$\leq \lim_{p\uparrow\infty}\sum_{k\geq p}\mathbb{P}[|V_{n(k)}(t)-t|>\delta]$$

$$\leq \frac{2t}{\delta^2} \lim_{p \uparrow \infty} \sum_{k \geq p} \Delta_{n(k)} = 0$$

hence

$$\mathbb{P}[\bigcup_{p\geq 1}\bigcap_{k\geq p}\{|V_{n(k)}(t)-t|\leq \delta\}]=1$$

22 / 46

Stochastic processes 000 Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Corollary 6 let *B* be a standard Brownian motion, and let $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_n^n = t$ be a convergent subdivision of [0, t], then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left(B(t_i^n) + B(t_{i-1}^n) \right) \left(B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n) \right) = \frac{1}{2} B^2(t)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \left(B(t_{i}^{n}) - B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \right) \to \frac{1}{2} \left(B^{2}(t) - t \right)$$

in \mathbb{L}^2 as $n \uparrow \infty$, and the convergence holds almost surely along a *fast* subdivision

Stochastic processes 000 Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Proof interpretation as a telescopic sum yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (B(t_i^n) + B(t_{i-1}^n)) (B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (B^2(t_i^n) - B^2(t_{i-1}^n)) = B^2(t_n^n) - B^2(t_0^n) = B^2(t)$$

and using the identity

$$x = \frac{1}{2} (x' + x) - \frac{1}{2} (x' - x)$$

yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \left(B(t_{i}^{n}) - B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(B(t_{i}^{n}) + B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \right) \left(B(t_{i}^{n}) - B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(B(t_{i}^{n}) - B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \right)^{2} \square$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(B(t_{i}^{n}) - B(t_{i-1}^{n}) \right)^{2}$$

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

multi-dimensional version

Proposition 7 [quadratic co-variation] let *B* be a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion with covariance matrix Σ , and let $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_n^n = t$ be a convergent subdivision of [0, t], then

$$V_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n (B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n)) (B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))^* \to t \Sigma$$

in \mathbb{L}^2 as $n \uparrow \infty$, and the convergence holds almost surely along a *fast* subdivision

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Proof for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the one-dimensional process $u^* B(t)$ is a Brownian motion with variance $\sigma^2 = u^* \Sigma u$, hence

$$u^* V_n(t) u = \sum_{i=1}^n (u^* (B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n)))^2$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{u^* (B(t_i^n) - B(t_{i-1}^n))}{\sigma})^2 u^* \Sigma u$
 $\rightarrow t u^* \Sigma u$

and by polarization, for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$u^* V_n(t) v \rightarrow t u^* \Sigma v$$

in \mathbb{L}^2 as $n \uparrow \infty$, and the convergence holds almost surely along a *fast* subdivision

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Introduction

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

<ロト < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > 言 > う Q (~ 27 / 46

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

27 / 46

Filtrations

Definition a filtration is a non-decreasing collection $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}(t), t \ge 0)$ of σ -algebras, and a stochastic process $X = (X(t), t \ge 0)$ is said adapted w.r.t. \mathcal{F} (or simply adapted) if for any $t \ge 0$ the r.v. X(t) is measurable w.r.t. $\mathcal{F}(t)$

Definition an adapted standard Brownian motion B is a process with

- independent and Gaussian increments, i.e. for any 0 ≤ s ≤ t the r.v. B(t) – B(s) is independent of F(s) and its distribution is N(0, (t − s))
- almost surely continuous sample paths

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Martingales

Definition a stochastic process $M = (M(t), t \ge 0)$ is a martingale (or a submartingale, or a supermartingale), iff

- It is adapted and integrable, i.e. for any t ≥ 0 the r.v. M(t) is measurable w.r.t. F(t) and E|M(t)| < ∞</p>
- for any $0 \le s \le t$

 $\mathbb{E}[M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = M(s)$

(or

```
\mathbb{E}[M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] \ge M(s) or \mathbb{E}[M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] \le M(s)
respectively)
```

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Proposition 8 let *M* be martingale and ϕ be a convex function if the process *N* defined by $N(t) = \phi(M(t))$ is integrable, then it is a submartingale

Proof for any $0 \le s \le t$, the Jensen inequality yields

 $\mathbb{E}[N(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[\phi(M(t)) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)]$

 $\geq \phi(\mathbb{E}[M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)]) = \phi(M(s)) = N(s)$

Example let B be a Brownian motion, then B and the processes M and Z defined by

 $M(t) = B^2(t) - t$ and $Z(t) = \exp\{\lambda B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t\}$

are martingales

Doob inequality

Theorem 9 [Doob maximal inequality] let M be a continuous martingale with finite p-th moments (i.e. $\mathbb{E}|M(t)|^p < \infty$ for any $t \ge 0$) for some p > 1, then for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{0 \le s \le t} |M(s)| \ge \lambda] \le \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \mathbb{E}|M(t)|^p$$

Remark the maximum is controlled by the final value, i.e. uniform control holds in terms of the final value

Remark this inequality generalizes the Markov inequality valid in the static case for a single square integrable r.v.

Doob maximal inequality is a consequence of the following

Proposition 10 let X be a continuous non–negative submartingale, then for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{0 \le s \le t} X(s) \ge \lambda] \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[X(t) \ 1_{\{\max_{0 \le s \le t} X(s) \ge \lambda\}}] \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[X(t)]$$

30 / 46

Proof of Doob maximal inequality (as a consequence of the Proposition) if M is a continuous martingale with finite p-th moments, then $|M|^p$ is a continuous non-negative submartingale, and applying the Proposition yields

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{0 \le s \le t} |M(s)| \ge \lambda] = \mathbb{P}[\max_{0 \le s \le t} |M(s)|^p \ge \lambda^p] \le \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \mathbb{E}|M(t)|^p$$

Proof of the Proposition the estimate if first proved for the maximum over any finite subdivision $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_n^n = t$ of [0, t]

the submartingale property yields

 $\mathbb{E}[X(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(t_i^n)] \geq X(t_i^n)$

let $K = \min\{i = 0 \cdots n : X(t_i^n) \ge \lambda\}$ or $K = +\infty$ if such an index does not exist, clearly $\{K = i\} \in \mathcal{F}(t_i^n)$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{\{K=i\}} X(t_i^n)] \geq \lambda \mathbb{P}[K=i]$$

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{i=0\cdots n} X(t_i^n) \ge \lambda] = \mathbb{P}[K \le n] = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{P}[K=i]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{K=i\} X(t_i^n)]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{K=i\} \mathbb{E}[X(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(t_i^n)]]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{K=i\} X(t)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[X(t) \mathbf{1}\{K \le n\}]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[X(t) \mathbf{1}\{\max_{0 \le s \le t} X(s) \ge \lambda\}]$$

32 / 46

notice that the dyadic subdivision at level k is a refined subdivision of the dyadic subdivision at coarser level (k - 1), since

$$\{t\,i\,2^{-(k-1)},\,i=0\cdots2^{k-1}\} = \{t\,i\,2^{-k},\,i=0\cdots2^{k},\,\text{for even }i\}$$
$$\subset \{t\,i\,2^{-k},\,i=0\cdots2^{k}\}$$

hence the events

$$A_k = \{\max_{i=0\cdots 2^k} X(t_i^{(k)}) \ge \lambda\}$$

form a non-decreasing sequence, i.e. $A_k \supseteq A_{k-1}$

furthermore, continuity of sample paths yields

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{0 \le s \le t} X(s) \ge \lambda] = \mathbb{P}[\bigcup_{k \ge 1} \{\max_{i=0 \cdots 2^k} X(t_i^{(k)}) \ge \lambda\}]$$

 $= \lim_{k\uparrow\infty} \mathbb{P}[\max_{i=0\cdots 2^k} X(t_i^{(k)}) \ge \lambda]$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[X(t) \mathbb{1}_{\{\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} X(s) \geq \lambda\}}] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[X(t)] \qquad \square$$

33 / 46

Corollary 11 [Doob inequality] let M be a continuous martingale with finite p-th moments (i.e. $\mathbb{E}|M(t)|^p < \infty$ for any $t \ge 0$) for some p > 1, then $(\mathbb{T}(x_1 + M(x_1)) \ge 1/p \le p^p = (\mathbb{T}|M(x_1)| \ge 1/p)$

$$\{\mathbb{E}(\max_{0\leq s\leq t}|M(s)|)^{p}\}^{1/p}\leq rac{p}{p-1}\;\{\mathbb{E}|M(t)|^{p}\}^{1/p}$$

Doob inequality is a consequence of the following

Lemma 12 let Y and Z be two non–negative r.v.'s such that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[\boldsymbol{Y} \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[Z \ \mathbf{1}_{\{\boldsymbol{Y} \geq \lambda\}}]$$

let F be a continuous non-decreasing function defined on $[0,\infty)$ (hence F has finite variation) and null at 0, then

$$\mathbb{E}[F(Y)] \leq \mathbb{E}[Z \ \int_0^Y \frac{1}{\lambda} \ F(d\lambda)]$$

in particular, if Z has finite p-th moments, then

$$\{\mathbb{E}[Y^{\rho}]\}^{1/\rho} \leq rac{
ho}{
ho-1} \{\mathbb{E}[Z^{
ho}]\}^{1/
ho}$$

34 / 46

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Proof of Doob inequality (as a consequence of the Lemma) if M is a continuous martingale (with finite p-th moments), then |M| is a continuous non-negative submartingale (also with finite p-th moments), hence

$$\mathbb{P}[\max_{0 \le s \le t} |M(s)| \ge \lambda] \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[|M(t)| \ \mathbb{1}_{\{\max_{0 \le s \le t} |M(s)| \ge \lambda\}}]$$

and the result follows from applying the Lemma with

$$Y = \max_{0 \le s \le t} |M(s)|$$
 and $Z = |M(t)|$

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Proof of the Lemma by definition

$$\mathbb{E}[F(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^Y F(d\lambda)]$$

= $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^\infty 1_{\{0 \le \lambda \le Y\}} F(d\lambda)]$
= $\int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[Y \ge \lambda] F(d\lambda)$
 $\le \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[Z \ 1_{\{Y \ge \lambda\}}] F(d\lambda)$
= $\mathbb{E}[Z \ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda} 1_{\{Y \ge \lambda\}} F(d\lambda)]$
= $\mathbb{E}[Z \ \int_0^Y \frac{1}{\lambda} F(d\lambda)]$

Stochastic processes

Continuous martingales

in particular for $F(\lambda) = \lambda^p$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^p] \le p \mathbb{E}[Z \ \int_0^Y \frac{1}{\lambda} \ \lambda^{p-1} \ d\lambda] = \frac{p}{p-1} \mathbb{E}[Z \ Y^{p-1}]$$

the Hölder inequality with conjugate exponents p, p' yields

 $\mathbb{E}[Z Y^{p-1}] \le \{\mathbb{E}[Z^p]\}^{1/p} \{\mathbb{E}[Y^{(p-1)p'}]\}^{1/p'} = \{\mathbb{E}[Z^p]\}^{1/p} \{\mathbb{E}[Y^p]\}^{1/p'}$

since (p-1) p' = p, and finally

$$\mathbb{E}[Y^{p}] \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \mathbb{E}[Z Y^{p-1}] \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \{\mathbb{E}[Z^{p}]\}^{1/p} \{\mathbb{E}[Y^{p}]\}^{1/p'}$$

or equivalently

$$\{\mathbb{E}[Y^p]\}^{1/p} \leq rac{p}{p-1} \{\mathbb{E}[Z^p]\}^{1/p}$$

<ロト < 部 ト < 差 ト < 差 ト 差 の Q (~ 37 / 46

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

38 / 46

Stopping times

Definition a stopping time τ is a r.v. with values in $[0, +\infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that for all $t \ge 0$

 $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}(t)$

i.e. whether $\tau \leq t$ or not, can be decided given events up to time t

Example let X be a continuous process with values in \mathbb{R}^d and let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed subset, then the hitting time

 $au_F = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \inf\{t \geq 0 \, : \, X(t) \in F\} & ext{if such a time exists} \\ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$

is a stopping time

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Definition the σ -algebra of events determined prior to the stopping time τ is defined by: $A \in \mathcal{F}(\tau)$ iff for any $t \ge 0$

 $A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}(t)$

Theorem 13 [optional sampling] let M be a continuous martingale (or a submartingale), and let $0 \le \sigma \le \tau \le \text{cst} < \infty$ be two bounded stopping times, then

 $\mathbb{E}[M(\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(\sigma)] = M(\sigma)$

(or

 $\mathbb{E}[M(\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(\sigma)] \geq M(\sigma)$

respectively)

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q (~ 39 / 46

Continuous martingales

Proof assume $0 \le \sigma \le \tau \le T < \infty$, and let $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_n^n = T$ be a convergent subdivision of [0, T], so that the sequence defined by $M_k^n = M(t_k^n)$ is a discrete-time martingale for the filtration $\mathcal{F}_k^n = \mathcal{F}(t_k^n)$

clearly, the r.v. defined by

$$\tau_n = \begin{cases} t_k^n & \text{if } t_{k-1}^n < \tau \le t_k^n \\ \\ t_1^n & \text{if } \tau \le t_1^n \end{cases}$$

is a stopping time: indeed

 $\{\tau_n = t_k^n\} = \{t_{k-1}^n < \tau \le t_k^n\}$ hence $\{\tau_n \le t_k^n\} = \{\tau \le t_k^n\} \in \mathcal{F}(t_k^n)$ moreover $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$ almost surely as $n \uparrow \infty$, since $0 \le \tau_n - \tau \le \Delta_n$, so that $M(\tau_n) \to M(\tau)$ almost surely as $n \uparrow \infty$ by continuity of the sample paths

note also that the r.v. defined by

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } t_{k-1}^n < \tau \le t_k^r \\ 1 & \text{if } \tau \le t_1^n \end{cases}$$

is a stopping time (for the discrete-time filtration), and $M(\tau_n) = M_K^n$

Stochastic processes

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

similarly, let

$$\sigma_n = \begin{cases} t_k^n & \text{if } t_{k-1}^n < \sigma \le t_k^n \\ \\ t_1^n & \text{if } \sigma \le t_1^n \end{cases}$$

so that $M(\sigma_n) \to M(\sigma)$ almost surely as $n \uparrow \infty$, and let

$$J = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } t_{k-1}^n < \sigma \le t_k^n \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma \le t_1^n \end{cases}$$

so that $M(\sigma_n) = M_J^n$

clearly $0 \le \sigma_n \le \tau_n \le T$ and $1 \le J \le K \le n$, and the optional sampling theorem for discrete-time martingales yields

$$\mathbb{E}[M(\tau_n)] = \mathbb{E}[M_K^n] = \mathbb{E}[M_J^n] = \mathbb{E}[M(\sigma_n)]$$

and also

$$\mathbb{E}[M(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(\tau_n)] = \mathbb{E}[M_n^n \mid \mathcal{F}_K^n] = M_K^n = M(\tau_n)$$

< □ > < 部 > < E > < E > E のQペ 41/46

it follows that the sequence $M(\tau_n)$ is uniformly integrable, and similarly the sequence $M(\sigma_n)$ is uniformly integrable, therefore $\mathbb{E}[M(\tau_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[M(\tau)]$ and similarly $\mathbb{E}[M(\sigma_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[M(\sigma)]$ as $n \uparrow \infty$, and uniqueness of the limit yields

 $\mathbb{E}[M(\tau)] = \mathbb{E}[M(\sigma)]$

this identity holds for any stopping times σ and τ such that $0 \le \sigma \le \tau \le T < \infty$ holds, and notice that for any $B \in \mathcal{F}(\sigma)$, the r.v.'s

 $\sigma_B = \sigma \, \mathbf{1}_B + T \, \mathbf{1}_{B^c} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau_B = \tau \, \mathbf{1}_B + T \, \mathbf{1}_{B^c}$

are stopping times such $0 \le \sigma_B \le \tau_B \le T < \infty$ holds: indeed

 $\{\tau_B \leq t\} = B \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \cup B^c \cap \{T \leq t\} = B \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}(t)$

for any $0 \le t < T$ (and trivially for any $t \ge T$), hence

 $\mathbb{E}[M(\tau) \mathbf{1}_B] + \mathbb{E}[M(T) \mathbf{1}_{B^c}] = \mathbb{E}[M(\sigma) \mathbf{1}_B] + \mathbb{E}[M(T) \mathbf{1}_{B^c}]$

or equivalently

$$\mathbb{E}[M(\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(\sigma)] = M(\sigma) \qquad \Box$$

Continuous martingales

Corollary 14 let *M* be a continuous martingale (or submartingale), and let $0 \le s \le \tau \le \text{cst} < \infty$ for a bounded stopping time τ , then

 $\mathbb{E}[M(\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = M(s)$

(or

 $\mathbb{E}[M(\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] \geq M(s)$

respectively)

Theorem 15 [stopped martingale] let M be a continuous martingale (or submartingale) and let τ be a (not necessarily finite) stopping time, then the stopped process

$$X(t) = M(t \wedge au) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} M(t) & ext{if } au \geq t \ M(au) & ext{if } au \leq t \end{array}
ight.$$

is a continuous martingale (or submartingale, respectively)

<ロト < 部ト < 書ト < 書ト 差 の Q (~ 43 / 46

Stochastic processes 000 Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

44 / 46

Proof let $t \ge s$ and notice that $\{\tau \le s\} \in \mathcal{F}(s)$ and $\{\tau > s\} \in \mathcal{F}(s)$

firstly, on the event $\{\tau \leq s\}$ and since $0 \leq s \leq t$, then necessarily $0 \leq \tau \leq s \leq t$ and $(t \wedge \tau) = (s \wedge \tau) = \tau$, hence

 $1_{\{\tau \leq s\}} \mathbb{E}[M(t \wedge \tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau \leq s\}} M(t \wedge \tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)]$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}} M(s \wedge \tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)]$$

 $= 1_{\{\tau \leq s\}} M(s \wedge \tau)$

secondly, on the event $\{\tau > s\}$ and since $0 \le s \le t$, then necessarily $(t \land \tau) \ge s$ and $((t \land \tau) \lor s) = (t \land \tau)$, hence $1_{\{\tau > s\}} \mathbb{E}[M(t \land \tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau > s\}} M(t \land \tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)]$ $= \mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau > s\}} M((t \land \tau) \lor s) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)]$

 $= 1_{\{\tau > s\}} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}((t \land \tau) \lor s) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)]$

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

since $0 \le s \le t$, then necessarily $s \le ((t \land \tau) \lor s) \le t$ without any condition on the stopping time τ , and the optional sampling theorem for the bounded stopping time $((t \land \tau) \lor s)$ yields

 $\mathbb{E}[M((t \land \tau) \lor s) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = M(s)$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} 1_{\{\tau > s\}} & \mathbb{E}[M(t \wedge \tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = 1_{\{\tau > s\}} \mathbb{E}[M((t \wedge \tau) \lor s) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] \\ & = 1_{\{\tau > s\}} M(s) \\ & = 1_{\{\tau > s\}} M(s \wedge \tau) \end{split}$$

the identity

 $\mathbb{E}[X(t) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[M(t \land \tau) \mid \mathcal{F}(s)] = M(s \land \tau) = X(s)$

45 / 46

Brownian motion

Continuous martingales

Quadratic variation

Proposition 16* [quadratic variation] let M be a continuous square-integrable martingale and let $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_n^n = t$ be a convergent subdivision of [0, t], then

$$V_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n (M(t_i^n) - M(t_{i-1}^n))^2
ightarrow \langle M
angle(t)$$

in probability as $n \uparrow \infty$, where the limit process $\langle M \rangle$ is the nondecreasing process associated with the Doob decomposition of the submartingale M^2 , i.e. the process $M^2 - \langle M \rangle$ is a martingale