J.-F. Lalande

1/22

Mandatory Access Control

Ŭ

Collaborative app

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

Generation of Role Based Access Control Security Policies for Java Collaborative Applications

J. Briffaut – X. Kauffmann-Tourkestansky J.-F. Lalande – W. W. Smari

> LIFO Université d'Orléans / ENSI de Bourges France

Electrical and Computer Engineering Department University of Dayton USA

SECURWARE'09

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

J.-F. Lalande

<mark>2</mark>/22

Mandatory Access Control

- **RBAC** integration
- Collaborative app
- Implementation
- Dynamic security
- Conclusion

Access control for software

- DAC : Discretionary Access Control
 - Permissions defined by Owner
- MAC : Mandatory Access Control
 - Permissions defined by Administrator (Independent User)

- Controls a software, a database, etc...
- ► For a Java software classicaly:
 - The operating system enforce a DAC policy
 - Extra tools provide a Mandatory Access Control Mechanism
- RBAC: Role based Access Control

J.-F. Lalande

3/22

Mandatory Access Control

- **RBAC** integration
- Collaborative app
- Implementation
- Dynamic security

Conclusion

Two running modes:

- All permissions are granted
- ► The software is sandboxed

Sandboxed software:

- Network is limited
- Read and Write permission are very limited

<ロト < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► Graphical operations can be forbidden Limitations:
 - On what URL ?
 - On what system object ?

J.-F. Lalande

4/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

Class control:

permission java.lang.RuntimePermission "accessClassInPackage.sdo .foo";

I/O control:

permission java.io.FilePermission "tmpFoo", "write"; permission java.io.FilePermission "<<ALL FILES>>", "read,write, delete,execute"; permission java.io.FilePermission "\${user.home}/-", "read";

Network control:

permission java.net.SocketPermission "*.ensi-bourges.fr:1-", " accept,listen,connect,resolve"

J.-F. Lalande

5/22

```
Mandatory Access
Control
RBAC integration
Collaborative app.
Implementation
Dynamic security
```

JAAS example policy file

```
Example of policy file: ~/. java. policy
```

```
keystore "${user.home}${/}.keystore";
```

```
grant codeBase "file:${java.home}/lib/ext/-" {
    permission java.security.AllPermission;
};
```

```
grant codeBase "http://www.ensi-bourges.fr/files/" {
    permission java.io.FilePermission "/tmp", "read";
    permission java.lang.RuntimePermission "queuePrintJob";
};
```

- The user has to write the policy
- He cannot be helped by the developer

J.-F. Lalande

6/22

- Mandatory Access Control
- RBAC integration
- Collaborative app
- Implementation
- Dynamic security
- Conclusion

To give to developpers a solution that:

- Provides a way to define the policy in the code
- Introduces roles in collaborative software
- Gives an RBAC API for the software

The users will be able to:

- Collect needed permission and take a decision
- Choose a role in the software

Security:

Objectives

Is this sufficient to control a possible vulnerability in the software ?

J.-F. Lalande

7/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

Language

Inspired from SELinux rules:

allow <subject> <IT> <object>

Javadoc comment before methods:

/**

* @allowIT Root {all}

* @allowIT User{awt} "accessClipboard"

* @allowIT User{file:(read);file:(write)} "config.txt" */



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

J.-F. Lalande

<mark>8</mark>/22

Mandatory Access Control RBAC integration Collaborative app. Implementation Dynamic security Conclusion

Language deployment

A parsed rule in the Java code:

```
/**
* @allowIT Root {file:(read);file:(write)} "config.txt" */
public void convertConfiguration()
...
/*
* @allowIT Root {file:(read);} "password.txt" */
public void authenticate(String password)
...
```

will produce:

grant Principal test.JAAS.ExamplePrincipal "Root" { permission java.io.FilePermission "config.txt", "read"; permission java.io.FilePermission "config.txt", "write"; permission java.io.FilePermission "password.txt", "read";};

23

4

23



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のへぐ

J.-F. Lalande

10/22

Mandatory Access Control

- **RBAC** integration
- Collaborative app
- Implementation
- Dynamic security
- Conclusion

Login module

Login module provides to the software:

- Hypothesis: the authentication is done
- Called at any time of the software
- Proposes to a user to obtain a role

The login module then checks:

- That the user can take this role
- That the right policy is loaded in JAAS

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

Login module

J.-F. Lalande

11/22

andatory Access

RBAC integration

Collaborative app

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion



Figure: Login module

J.-F. Lalande

1<mark>2</mark>/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app.

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

Benefits for collaborative applications

Benefits of this architecture:

- It eases the security policy generation
- It allows sandboxing the application
- It adds an authentication security level before using the application
- It simplifies the writing of policies for developers

The design of the policy is

- Collaborative for developers
- Controlled user by user by the administrator

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

Gives guarantees for users

J.-F. Lalande

13/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app.

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

Collaborative design of the policy



Figure: Java collaborative application

J -F Lalande

13/22

Collaborative app.

Java Collaborative Application Module

Tag

Tag

Tag

Tag

Application

JAAS Login

Module

Tag

Tag

User

Data

base

Tag

Tag

Module

Tag

Module

Collaborative design of the policy

User A

User B

User C

Administrator Î

Editor

Solution



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のへぐ

JSM and SandBox

Policy

File

J.-F. Lalande

14/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

Policy tags

/**

* @allowIT Root {file:(read);file:(write)} "config.txt" * @allowIT User {file:(read)} "config.txt" */ public void convertConfiguration()

23

45

23

4

5

67

8

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

generates...

grant Principal test.JAAS.ExamplePrincipal "Root" { permission java.io.FilePermission "config.txt", "read"; permission java.io.FilePermission "config.txt", "write"; }; grant Principal test.JAAS.ExamplePrincipal "User" { permission java.io.FilePermission "config.txt", "read"; };

•••

J.-F. Lalande

15/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

With the Root role

The user choose the Root role:

Listing 1: Console output for a read+write operation Password linked to the username in the userfile : a94a8fe5ccb19ba61c4c0873d391e987982fbbd3 Hash of the inputed password : a94a8fe5ccb19ba61c4c0873d391e987982fbbd3 Authentication succeeded!!

Please pick a role:

---- >Root

Role pick succeeded.

Actions done once authenticated

The file exists in the current working directory

the file has been read!

The file config. txt was created (write test)!

J.-F. Lalande

1<mark>6</mark>/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

With the User role

The user choose the User role:

Listing 2: Console output for a read+write operation

Password linked to the username in the userfile : a94a8fe5ccb19ba61c4c0873d391e987982fbbd3 Hash of the inputed password : a94a8fe5ccb19ba61c4c0873d391e987982fbbd3 Authentication succeeded!!

Please pick a role : ----->User Role pick succeeded.

Actions **done** once authenticated The file exists in the current working directory the file has been **read**! java.security.AccessControlException: access denied (java.io.FilePermission config.txt write)

J.-F. Lalande

17/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app.

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

What about a software vulnerability ?

- Hypothesis: an attack succeeds against the software
- ► If the choosen role is root...
- ... the attacker will be able to write in config.txt !

For example:

- A Peer-to-peer application with a network vulnerability
- A web server application on a Tomcat platform

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The permissions are 99% of the time useless...

J.-F. Lalande

18/22

Dynamic security

Permissions are useless ?

/**
* @allowIT Root {file:(read);file:(write)} "config.txt" */
public void convertConfiguration()
...
/*

* @allowIT Root {file:(read);} "password.txt" */
public void authenticate(String password)

These permissions are useless:

- Permissions on config.txt are useless in authenticate()
- Permissions on password.txt are useless in convertConfiguration()

J.-F. Lalande

19/22

Dynamic security

Hypothetical vulnerability

```
/**
* @allowIT Root {file:(read);file:(write)} "config.txt" */
public void convertConfiguration()
...
// Vulnerability at this point: injecting this code:
    this.passwordFileObject.println("hacked password");
...
/*
* @allowIT Root {file:(read);} "password.txt" */
public void authenticate(String password)
...
```

- Arbitrary access is allowed to password.txt
- Even if multi-threaded, the code have no reason to have permanent access to password.txt

J.-F. Lalande

<mark>20</mark>/22

Proposed solution

To dynamically enforce the policy when required:

```
/**
                  * @allowIT Root {file:(read);file:(write)} "config.txt" */
                  public void convertConfiguration() {
                  RBAC.loadPolicy("root convertConfiguration");
Dynamic security
                  // Vulnerability at this point: insecting this code:
                    this.passwordFileObject.println("hacked password"); // This will fail !
                  RBAC.unloadPolicy("root convertConfiguration");
                  * @allowIT Root {file:(read);} "password.txt" */
                  public void authenticate(String password) {
                  RBAC.loadPolicy("root authenticate");
                  RBAC.unloadPolicy("oot authenticate");
```

J.-F. Lalande

21/22

Mandatory Access Control

- **RBAC** integration
- Collaborative app
- Implementation
- Dynamic security

Conclusion

Conclusion and perspectives

The implemented RBAC module proposes:

- A tag parser and policy generator
- A login module for software integration
- A dynamic method of policy enforcement

What next ?

Extract automatically policies from source code

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

Link JAAS to SELinux ?

J.-F. Lalande

<mark>22</mark>/22

Mandatory Access Control

RBAC integration

Collaborative app.

Implementation

Dynamic security

Conclusion

Questions

► Questions ?

